

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Generalized coherent states as eigenstates of linearized quadratic Casimir operators

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L861 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/17/002)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:55

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Generalized coherent states as eigenstates of linearized quadratic Casimir operators

H A Schmitt<sup>†</sup> and A Mufti<sup>‡</sup>

† Naval Warfare Assessment Center, Pomona, CA 91769, USA
 ‡ Arizona Material Laboratory and Department of Physics, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

Received 25 June 1990

Abstract. It is shown that the generalized coherent states for several groups and a supergroup are eigenstates of the linearized quadratic Casimir operator of the associated algebra or superalgebra. These operators may be useful in the study of geometrical phases.

Coherent states [1], and more recently vector coherent states [2], have proven to be a very powerful technique for studying the semiclassical behaviour of many quantal systems that exhibit macroscopic phenomena. Coherent states for the Heisenberg-Weyl group minimize the uncertainty relation  $\Delta p \Delta x$  and are also eigenstates of the annihilation operator. In efforts to generalize the construction of coherent states to other groups, emphasis was placed on building states that minimize an appropriate uncertainty [3]. One notable exception to this was the introduction of so-called supercoherent states as eigenstates of the supersymmetrical quantum mechanical annihilation operator [4]. It turns out, however, that these states are not always minimum uncertainty states [5].

In this letter, we show that the generalized coherent states for several groups and a supergroup constructed according to the prescription given by Perelomov [3] are eigenstates of the 'linearized' quadratic Casimir operator of the algebra (or superalgebra). This operator appears to be closely related to a class of Hamiltonians recently identified in work on geometrical phases [6]. In that work, the authors studied 'linearized' Hamiltonians in the group generators and show that these are intimately related to coherent states. We now expand on what is meant by the 'linearized' quadratic Casimir operator.

Let  $C_2(\mathcal{G})$  be the quadratic Casimir operator for the group,  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then up to an overall normalization

$$C_2(\mathscr{G}) = g^{\psi} X_i X_j. \tag{1}$$

In (1), the  $X_k$  are generators of a representation of the algebra of  $\mathscr{G}$  and  $g^{kl}$  is the Cartan-Killing tensor. We 'linearize'  $C_2(\mathscr{G})$  by the prescription

$$A = g^{ij} \langle X_i \rangle X_j \tag{2}$$

where  $\langle X_j \rangle = \langle CS | X_j | CS \rangle$  is the expectation value of  $X_j$ , and  $| CS \rangle$  is a generalized coherent state constructed according to [3].

The generalized coherent states  $|CS\rangle$  are eigenstates of the operator A:

$$A|CS\rangle = \lambda |CS\rangle. \tag{3}$$

0305-4470/90/170861+04\$03.50 © 1990 IOP Publishing Ltd

This conjecture has been considered from a different perspective for compact groups in [7]. We have not been able to formulate a general proof of this statement, but rather we wish to illustrate it with several examples. We choose as illustrations the compact group SU(2), the non-compact group SU(1, 1), and the non-compact supergroup  $Osp(\frac{1}{2}, R)$ .

SU(2) coherent states. The compact group SU(2) has three infinitesimal generators satisfying [8]:

$$[J_+, J_-] = 2J_0 \qquad [J_0, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm.$$
(4)

The quadratic Casimir operator is normalized so that [8]

$$C_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)) = J_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+) = j(j+1).$$
(5)

The generalized coherent state is constructed according to Perelomov [9, 10, 11]:

$$|\alpha\rangle = (1+|\alpha|^2)^{-j} \exp(\alpha J_+)|j-j\rangle$$
(6a)

with

$$\alpha = -\tan(\theta/2) \exp(-i\phi). \tag{6b}$$

The expectation values of the generators of SU(2) are known to be [11]

$$\langle \alpha | J_+ | \alpha \rangle = \frac{2j\alpha^*}{(1+|\alpha|^2)} \qquad \langle \alpha | J_- | \alpha \rangle = \frac{2j\alpha}{(1+|\alpha|^2)} \qquad \langle \alpha | J_0 | \alpha \rangle = \frac{-j(1-|\alpha|^2)}{(1+|\sigma|^2)}. \tag{7}$$

Thus,

$$A = \langle \alpha | J_0 | \alpha \rangle J_0 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha | J_+ | \alpha \rangle J_- + \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha | J_- | \alpha \rangle J_+$$
  
$$= -\frac{j}{(1+|\alpha|^2)} \{ (1-|\alpha|^2) J_0 - \alpha J_- - \alpha J_+ \}$$
  
$$= -j \{ \cos \theta J_0 + \sin \theta \cos \phi J_x + \sin \theta \sin \phi J_y \} = -j(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{J}).$$
(8)

It was shown in [11] that the SU(2) coherent states defined in this manner are eigenstates of  $n \cdot J$ :  $n \cdot J|CS\rangle = -j|CS\rangle$ . Hence, we have that the SU(2) generalized coherent state is an eigenstate of the linearized quadratic Casimir operator:

$$A|CS\rangle = j^2|CS\rangle.$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

SU(1,1) coherent states. The non-compact group SU(1,1) has three generators satisfying the commutation relations [8]:

$$[K_{+}, K_{-}] = -2K_{0} \qquad [K_{0}, K_{\pm}] = \pm K_{\pm}.$$
(10)

The quadratic Casimir operator is normalized so that [8]

$$C_2(\mathrm{SU}(1,1)) = K_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(K_+ K_- + K_- K_+) = k(k-1).$$
(11)

We consider only the positive discrete series representations and so have a lowest weight state (Lws),  $|k, k\rangle$ . The generalized coherent state is then constructed as [9, 12]:

$$|\alpha\rangle = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^K \exp(\alpha K_+) |k, k\rangle$$
(12a)

with

$$\alpha = -\tanh(\tau/2) \exp(-i\psi). \tag{12b}$$

The expectation values of the generators of SU(1, 1) are given by the following [13]:

$$\langle \alpha | K_{+} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{2k\alpha^{*}}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \qquad \langle \alpha | K_{-} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{2k\alpha}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \qquad \langle \alpha | K_{0} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{k(1+|\alpha|^{2})}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})}.$$
(13)

Hence,

$$\exp(-\alpha K_{+})A \exp(\alpha K_{+})$$

$$= \exp(-\alpha K_{+})[\langle \alpha | K_{0} | \alpha \rangle K_{0} - (\frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha | K_{+} | \alpha \rangle K_{-} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha | K_{-} | \alpha \rangle K_{+})] \exp(\alpha K_{+})$$

$$= k \left( K_{0} - \frac{\alpha^{*} K_{-}}{(1 - |\alpha|^{2})} \right).$$
(14)

It then follows that

$$A|\alpha\rangle = k(1-|\alpha|^2)^k e^{\alpha K_*} \left( K_0 - \frac{\alpha^* K_-}{(1-|\alpha|^2)} \right) e^{-\alpha K_*} e^{\alpha K_+} |kk\rangle = k^2 |\alpha\rangle.$$
(15)

The generalized coherent states for SU(1, 1) again turn out to be eigenstates of the 'linearized' quadratic Casimir operator.

 $Osp(\frac{1}{2}, R)$  coherent states. We now consider the more complicated case of generalized coherent states for the non-compact supergroup  $Osp(\frac{1}{2}, R)$ . This non-compact supergroup has five generators satisfying [12]:

$$[K_{+}, K_{-}] = -2K_{0} \qquad [K_{0}, K_{\pm}] = \pm K_{\pm} \qquad (16a)$$

$$[K_{\pm}, V_{\pm}] = 0 \qquad [K_{\pm}, V_{\mp}] = \mp V_{\pm} \qquad [K_0, V_{\pm}] = \pm 1/2 V_{\pm} \qquad (16b)$$

$$\{V_{\pm}, V_{\pm}\} = K_{\pm} \qquad \{V_{+}, V_{-}\} = K_{0}.$$
(16c)

The quadratic Casimir operator is normalized so that [12]

$$C(\mathrm{SU}(1,1)) = K^2 - \frac{1}{2}(V_+ V_- - V_- V_+) = q(q - \frac{1}{2}).$$
<sup>(17)</sup>

We again consider only the positive discrete series representations and so have a Lws,  $|q, q, q\rangle$ . The generalized coherent state is then constructed according to [3]

$$|\theta\alpha\rangle = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^q \left(1 - \frac{q\bar{\theta}\theta}{2(1 - |\alpha|^2)}\right) \exp(\theta V_+) \exp(\alpha K_+)|q, q, q\rangle.$$
(18)

The expectation values of the generators of  $Osp(\frac{1}{2}, R)$  are given by the following [14]:

$$\langle \theta \alpha | K_{+} | \theta \alpha \rangle = \frac{2q\alpha^{*}}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \left( 1 - \frac{\theta \overline{\theta}}{2(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \right)$$

$$\langle \theta \sigma | K_{-} | \theta \alpha \rangle = \frac{2q\alpha}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \left( 1 - \frac{\theta \overline{\theta}}{2(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \right)$$

$$\langle \theta \alpha | K_{0} | \theta \alpha \rangle = q \frac{(1+|\alpha|^{2})}{(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \left( 1 - \frac{\theta \overline{\theta}}{2(1-|\alpha|^{2})} \right)$$
(19a)

$$\langle \theta \alpha | V_{+} | \theta \alpha \rangle = q \left( \bar{\theta} + \alpha^{*} \theta \right)$$

$$(19b)$$

$$\langle \theta \alpha | V_{-} | \theta \alpha \rangle = q(\theta + \alpha \overline{\theta}). \tag{19c}$$

Hence,

$$\exp(-\alpha K_{+} - \theta V_{+})A \exp(\alpha K_{+} + \theta V_{+})$$

$$= \exp(-\alpha K_{+} + \theta V_{+})\{\langle \theta \alpha | K_{0} | \theta \alpha \rangle K_{0} - \frac{1}{2}[\langle \theta \alpha | K_{+} | \theta \alpha \rangle K_{-} + \langle \theta \alpha | K_{-} | \theta \alpha \rangle K_{+}] + \frac{1}{2}[\langle \theta \alpha | V_{+} | \theta \alpha \rangle V_{-} - \langle \theta \alpha | V_{-} | \theta \alpha \rangle V_{+}]\} \exp(\alpha K_{+} + \theta V_{+})$$

$$= q \left(1 - \frac{\theta \overline{\theta}}{2(1 - |\alpha|^{2})}\right) \left[K_{0} \left(1 - \frac{\theta \overline{\theta}}{2(1 - |\alpha|^{2})}\right) - \frac{\alpha^{*} K_{-}}{(1 - |\alpha|^{2})} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\overline{\theta} - \alpha^{*} \theta)}{(1 - |\alpha|^{2})} V_{-}\right].$$
(20)

It then follows that

$$A|q\alpha\rangle = (1-|\alpha|^2)^q \left(1 - \frac{(q\bar{\theta}\theta)}{(1-|\alpha|^2)}\right) \exp(\alpha K_+ + \theta V_+) \exp(-\alpha K_+ - \theta V_+) A$$
$$\times \exp(\alpha K_+ + \theta V_+)|q, q, q\rangle$$
$$= q^2 \left(1 - \frac{\theta\bar{\theta}}{(1-|\alpha|^2)}\right)|\theta\alpha\rangle.$$
(21)

We have illustrated that, for several groups, the generalized coherent states are eigenstates of a 'linearized' quadratic Casimir operator (2). This is similar to the random phase approximation (RPA) often used in solid state physics and nuclear physics; however, there is a fundamental difference—the results here seem to be exact.

## References

[1] Klauder J R and Skagerstam Bo-S 1985 Coherent States, Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics (Singapore: World Scientific)

Perelomov A 1986 Generalized Coherent States and their Applications (Berlin: Springer)

- [2] Rowe D J, Rosensteel G and Gilmore R 1985 J. Math. Phys. 26 2787
- [3] Perelomov A M 1972 Commun. Math. Phys. 26 222 Gilmore R 1972 Ann. Phys. 74 391
- [4] Aragone C and Zypman F 1986 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 2267
- [5] Orszag M and Salamo S 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L1059
- [6] Giavarini G and Onofri E 1989 J. Math. Phys. 30 659
- Chaturvedi S, Sriram M and Srinivasan V 1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 L1071
- [7] Delbourgo R and Fox J R 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 L233
- [8] Wybourne B G 1974 Classical Groups for Physicists (New York: Wiley)
- [9] Perelomov A M 1979 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 29 867
- [10] Radcliffe J M 1971 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 4 313
   Arecchi F T, Courtens E, Gilmore R and Thomas H 1972 Phys. Rev. A 6 2211
- [11] Kuratsuji H and Suzuki T 1980 J. Math. Phys. 21 472
- [12] Gerry C C and Silverman S 1982 J. Math. Phys. 23 1995
- [13] Perelomov A M 1977 Sov. Phys.-Usp. 20 703
- Balantekin A B, Schmitt H A and Barrett B R 1988 J. Math. Phys. 29 1634
   Kostelecky V A, Nieto M M and Truax D R 1985 Phys. Rev. D 32 2627